

Delegated Applications
Recommendations accepted by Planning Committee members – Week Commencing 9th May 2022

Reference No.	Proposal	Site Location	Officer Recommendation	Objections
LA06/2019/0925/O A REQUEST TO CALL- IN THIS APPLICATION TO A FUTURE PLANNING COMMITTEE HAS BEEN MADE --- BUT NOT YET ACCEPTED	New dwelling and garage	25m to the east and to the front of 9 Cambourne View, Newtownards DEA: Newtownards	Refusal	1
LA06/2017/1105/F	Demolition of single storey garage, store and balcony to front and erection of 2 storey extension to both sides, a single storey extension to the front and first floor rear balcony	35 Glen Road, Holywood DEA: Glen Road and Holywood	Approval	2
LA06/2020/0140/F	15 No. Dwellings within area previously approved under reference X/2006/0757/F including reconfiguration of car parking arrangements for existing apartments.	Lands between Nos. 24-42 North Road, Newtownards DEA: Newtownards	Approval	8 (from 3 addresses)
LA06/2021/1447/F	Erection of 4 dwellings – Change of house type to sites 5, 10, 11 and 16 (House type 5a and 5b previously approved under LA06/2018/0886/F)	Lands formerly known as No. 69, 71, 73, 77 and 79 Ardmillian Road, Ardmillian and which lie opposite (west of) The Oyster Yard (west of) No. 72 and 74 Ardmilian Road and opposite	Approval	0

		(west of) No. 70 and 122 Tullynakill Road, Killinchy DEA: Comber		
LA06/2022/0221/F	Restoration and extension of derelict gate lodge to form a dwelling; restoration of historic gate screen and all other associated site works	Abbey Lodge, Rosemount Estate, Greyabbey DEA: Ards Peninsula	Approval	0
LA06/2022/0224/LBC	Restoration and extension of derelict gate lodge to form a dwelling; restoration of historic gate screen and all other associated site works	Abbey Lodge, Rosemount Estate, Greyabbey DEA: Ards Peninsula	Consent	0

Refusal Reasons for LA06/2019/0925/O

1. The proposal is contrary to Policy FLD 1 of Planning Policy Statement 15, Revised Planning and Flood Risk as there will be a presumption against development of green field sites in defended areas. As well as exposing more people and property to residual flood risk, this form of development could remove valuable flood storage should the defences overtop or breach.
2. Insufficient information has been submitted to demonstrate that the proposal can be served adequately by the local foul sewerage network and public surface water sewer therefore the development proposal could cause significant risk of environment harm and public dis-amenity including pollution, flooding and detrimental impact on existing properties