ARDS AND NORTH DOWN BOROUGH COUNCIL

Policy Screening Form

1.	Policy Reference		E 220							
	(Equality use only)									
2.	Policy Name		Trial alteration to household bin collection in approx. 4 collection routes which correlates to 5% of domestic households within the Borough							
3.	Existing / Revised / New	Policy	Revised and trial policy							
	D "11 O#"									
4.	Responsible Officer		Director of Environment							
E	Description of policy to	Ardo o	ad North Down Porough Council Sustainable Wests							
5.	Description of policy to be screened	Resour commilandfill further develo proces Monda covere i. ii. iii. iv. 1.0 The first Octobe end of Ireland among Ards an promote manage i.	nd North Down Borough Council Sustainable Waste rice Strategy, adopted in November 2015, included a timent to review progress against agreed recycling and cost reduction targets with a view to considering what steps should be taken over and above the service pments during phase one of the strategy implementation is. A workshop for all Elected Members was held on y 7th October 2019, at which the following agenda was down down agreed Sustainable Waste Resource Management Strategy Progress achieved to date Key areas of significant potential for further gains The potential for future service development Strategy Implementation Phase 1 Ist phase of our strategy implementation (November 2015 – et 2019) propelled the Borough from the bottom to the top the Council recycling performance league in Northern with our household recycling rate routinely featuring st the top 3 Councils. Ind North Down Council has pioneered new approaches to be sent outcomes. These include: Establishment of new clear and unequivocal terms and conditions for users of waste management services that are required to achieve the necessary outcomes of those services and promote the Councils overarching							

Sustainable Development agenda.

ii.

Step change elevation of education and awareness, not

- least amongst our school communities, on the issues around sustainable waste resource management and the related wider environmental imperatives that we face.
- iii. Expansion of the 'reach' and 'scope' of our enhanced sustainable waste management norms to encompass local business community, local schools, local community organisations and our own internal Council business operations.

2.0 Remaining Challenges

Whilst the pace of progress has outstripped virtually every other NI Council, there were a number of remaining key challenges identified

3.0 Outstanding Issues Identified as Barriers to Further Progress

Several key factors were identified as posing the most significant barriers to a potential further 'step change' in recycling progress:

- i. The structure of the kerbside bin collection service has not kept pace with the new terms and conditions of use of that service, and the consequent shifting volumes of waste that are now required to be placed in each bin type.
- ii. Certain key categories of recyclable waste are not accepted in the kerbside recycling collection service, most significantly soft (more difficult to process) plastics and textiles.
- iii. The Council have a relatively large network of Household Recycling Centres (HRCs) which presents logistical, practical and resource implications for ensuring that recycling of waste is maximised at all sites in a focussed and consistent manner.
- iv. Ongoing challenge to win 'hearts and minds' of all citizens, so that they understand and value the benefits of maximising their recycling efforts.

Aims and Expected Outcomes – what is the Policy expected to achieve? Taking into account the various areas of progress to date, the challenges that remained and the most significant barriers to a further step change in recycling engagement progress, officers proposed several key service improvement initiatives for implementation as 'Phase 2' of the Sustainable Waste Resource Management Strategy.

These include a newly configured bin collection system that should be trialled to assess the impact on kerbside household waste recycling rates, as well as the functionality and practicability for both householders and Council. The key principle of this collection model is the identified need to redress the imbalance between the capacity of bin space provided for the different waste streams.

The trial would be to identify a service that

 Better reflects the changed balance between recyclable and non-recyclable household waste items.

- Addresses extra blue bin capacity needs for expanded range of dry recyclables.
- Addresses over capacity of grey bin space.
- Maintains grey bin capacity support for homes that have disposal requirements for (a) children's disposable nappy waste or (b) clinical waste.
- **Not** a 'one size fits all' approach.

To address any adverse potential impact on any of the Section 75 dimensions certain criteria would be applied from the outset and include

- Homes with children's or adult nappy disposal needs – register for continued 2 weekly grey bin collections if desired.
- Homes registered for medical waste disposal support – continue with 2 weekly grey bin disposals.
- Increase the blue bin capacity for all larger households and others that potentially identify a need by offering upgrade to from 240L bin to 360L bin (DAERA grant funding support will be sought for this).
- Offer extra glass recycling box where a need is agreed (DAERA grant funding support will be sought for this).
- Change from 2 to 1 grey bin collection during each 4-week collection cycle, for non-exempt homes (i.e. homes not registered for nappy disposal of for routine disposal of medical/clinical waste or any other identified criteria).
- A household will be allowed to opt out of the trial where Council officers are satisfied that they are fulfilling all the terms and conditions of use of the kerbside bin service, but despite this the trial service model is not working/cannot be made to work for them.
- Section 75 categories which might be expected to benefit and how they may benefit.

All Section 75 categories are expected to benefit from this service as the service will be developed to take into account the needs of those across the trial area that will continue to require a fortnightly grey bin service and the benefit of cost savings and enhanced environmental protection for all residents and ratepayers across the Borough.

7. Factors which could contribute to / detract from the intended aims / outcomes of the Policy when being implemented

If the policy is not delivered as intended or if a particular category, consider their needs are not being met through the four-week grey bin service or the continuation of the two-weekly service for those identified as requiring this

	Se	ervice.
8.	The main stakeholders on whom the policy will impact. For example, Employees, Potential Service Users and Community Groups Consider the internal and external impacts (either actual or potential) and comment, or list, information where appropriate	The Council, Elected members, employees of the Council, rate payers, residents, visitors to the Borough and employers within and employees in the Borough. Arc 21 and DAERA
	where appropriate	

9	Please provide details of other policies which have a b	pearing on this one.
	E 05 Review and Harmonisation of Waste	Ards and North Down Borough
	Recycling Centre Opening Hours	Council
	E 14 Sustainability and Environmental Policy	
	E 28 Corporate Complaints policy and procedure	
	E 59 Provision of kerbside household waste	
	collection service	
	E 63 ANDBC Corporate Health and Safety	
	Management Systems Policy	
	E 111 Policy for the provision of kerbside household	
	waste collection services	
	E 123 Ards and North Down Borough Council	
	Procurement Policy	
	E 153 Customer Care Strategy	
	E 196 Ards and North Down Borough Council	
	Corporate Plan 2020-2024	
	E 203 Good Relations Strategy and Action Plan	
	2019 - 2022	

10.	Available evid	vailable evidence (quantitative and qualitative) considered as important to encourage							
	completion in	relation to:							
	Religious	eligious Summary:							
	Belief	The Northern	Ireland Ce	nsus 2011	(NISRA)sta	tistics for th	e Borougl	n area	
		showed that 7							
		(including Ch							
		17% in Porta	•					•	
		relation to this		ese compa	ared to 48%	for Northeri	n Ireland a	as a whole.	
		See table bel	ow						
		Data:							
		Religion or F						1	
			All usual	Catholic	Protestant	Other	None		
			residents		and Other	Religions			
					Christian				
					(including				
					Christian				

			related)		
Northern					
Ireland	1,810,863	817,385	875,717	16,592	101,169
	(100%)	(45%)	(48%)	(1%)	(6%)
Ards and					
North					
Down					
Borough	156,672	20,550	117,589	1,729	16,804
Council	(100%)	(13%)	(75%)	(1%)	(11%)

Source: Religion or religion brought up in. Census 2011, NISRA

Political Opinion

Summary:

Electoral Office data from recent Local Government elections detail the political representation on Ards and North Down Council, The Stormont Executive for this electoral area and Westminster election. This data is an approximate measure of political opinion of the voting electorate within the Borough. Turnout to vote in North Down and Strangford constituencies tend to be lower than the rest of NI suggesting less strong political opinions. Of those who do turn out, the results show preferences for voting Unionist parties.

See table below

Data:

Council Election results

The most recent local council election (2nd May 2019) showed:

				E	lecte	ed Car		te					
	Eligibl e Elector ate	Votes Polled (% Turno ut)	DUP	Sinn Féin	UUP	SDLP	Alliance	Independent	TUV	Green	PUP	Aontu	CCLA
Northern Ireland	n 1,305,55 3	687,733 (52.7%)	122	105	7 5	59	53	24	6	8	3	1	1
Ards and North Down Borough Council		47,161 (42.1%)	14		8	1	10	3	1	3			
Ards Peninsu a (DEA)		7,369 (44.2%)	3		1	1	1						
Bangor Central (DEA)	17,194	6,678 (38.8%)	2		1		1	1		1			,

	Bangor East and Donagha dee (DEA)	16,956	6,915 (40.8%)	2	2	1	1				
	Bangor	10,550	(+0.070)			-					
	West		5 440								
		40.470	5,448	_		0					
	(DEA)	13,479	(40.4%)	1	1	2			1		
	Comber (DEA)	13,681	6,272 (45.8%)	2	1	1		1			
	Holywoo	·	,								
	d and										
	Clandeb										
	oye		6,229								
	(ĎEA)	14,158	(44.0%)	1	1	2			1		
	Newtown										
	ards		8,250								
	(DEA)	19,953	(41.4%)	3	1	2	1				
	Source: 1	he Electo	oral Office	e. NI	 	 	-			 	

Racial Group

Summary:

NISRA, NINIS and Northern Ireland Strategic Migration Partnership data provide up to date data. The 2011 census showed that 32,000 (1.8%) of the usually resident population in Northern Ireland is from a minority ethnic background. Within the borough, the 2011 Census showed that 1.5% (2,300) were from a minority ethnic background. Across the borough this ranged from 5.2% in Loughview ward to 0.3% in Lisbane ward.

See table below

Data:

Ethnic Group (Numbers with % in brackets)

	All usual residents	White	Chinese	Indian	Bangladeshi	Other Asian	Black African	Black Other	Mixed	Other
Northern Ireland	1,810,86 3 (100%)	1,778,44 9 (98%)	6,303 (0%)	6,198 (0%)	540 (0%)	% 0 0 0 0 0	2,3 45 (0 %)	12 71 (0 %)	6,0 14 (0 %)	3,6 54 (0 %)
Ards and North Down Borough Council	156,672 (100%)	154,365 (99%)	406 (0%)	283 (0%)	177 (0%	41 5 (0 %)	13 9 (0 %)	13 2 (0 %)	55 6 (0 %)	19 9 (0 %)
Ards Peninsula	22,797 (100%)	22,641 (99%)	29 (0%)	17 (0%)	5 (0%)	17 (0 %)	6 (0 %)	2 (0 %)	54 (0 %)	26 (0 %)

			Г	1	1		ı					1
	Bangor Central	23,524 (100%)	24,469 (98%)	105 (0%)	43 (0%)	17 (0%)	43 (0 %)	26 (0 %)	32 (0 %)	11 9 (0 %)	38 (0 %)	
	Bangor East and Donaghadee	24,892 (100%)	22,648 (99%)	56 (0%)	27 (0%)	10 (0%)	91 (0 %)	11 (0 %)	2 (0 %)	82 (0 %)	19 (0 %)	
	Bangor West	22,946 (100%)	17,763 (98%)	19 (0%)	135	(0%)	11 6	3 (0 %)	26 (0 %)	73 (0 %)	15 (0 %)	
	Comber	18,152 (100%)	18,216 (99%)	30 (0%)	8 (0%)	9 (0%)	35 (0 %)	4 (0 %)	8 (0 %)	55 (0 %)	17 (0 %)	
	Holywood and Clandeboye	18,382 (100%)	20,061 (98%)	65 (0%)	31 (0%)	23 (0%)	81 (0 %)	81 (0 %)	56 (0 %)	10 1 (0 %)	61 (0 %)	
	Newtownards Source: Ethnic G	20,560 (100%)	27,841 (99%)	105 (0%)	20 (0%)	111 (0%)	30 (0 %)	8 (0 %)	6 (0 %)	75 (0 %)	20 (0 %)	
Age	NISRA have pub the proportion of the next 25 years ANDBC has the See table below Data: Age Groups (No	those a s. highest	ged 85 a % popula	nd over a	re expe	cted	to m	ore t	han (doub		
			0-15	16-39	4	0-64		6	5+		Tot	al
	Northern Ireland	38	5,200 (21%)	583,116 (31%)		,481 32%)	2	91,82 (169		-	51,62 100%	
	Down Borou Council		9,801 (19%)	43,239 (27%)	(3	,094 34%)		31,60 (20°			58,79 100%	
	Sources: Demo			odology E	oranch,	INIOK	<u>.H</u>					
Marital Status	The 2011 Norther 16 years of age) sex civil partners This varies across Lisbane ward. See table below	ern Irelar in North ship. Witl	nd Censu ern Irela hin the b	nd were e orough, 5	either m 4% of a	arried dults	d or i fell i	n a r into t	egist his c	ered atego	sam ory.	
	Data: Marital Status (All aged	l 16+) (N	umbers w	/ith % ir	brac	kets	;)				

		All usual residents	Single (never married or never registered a same- sex civil partnership		In a registered same- sex civil partnership		Divorced or formerly in a same-sex civil	partnership which is now legally dissolved	Widowed or surviving partner from a samesex civil partnership	
	Northern Ireland	1,431,540 (100%)	517,393 (36%)	68 0,8 31 (48 %)	1,2 43 (0 %)	56,91 1 (4%)	78	3,074 (5%)	97,088 (7%)	
	Ards and North Down Borough Council	126,945 (100%)	36,730 (29%)	67, 86 6 (53 %)	12 3 (0 %)	4,328 (3%)	8	3,548 (7%)	9,350 (7%)	
Sexual	Source: North	<u>iem ireiano 2</u>	<u>:011 Censu</u>	S, IVIZ	iniai	<u>Status</u>				
orientation Men &	It should be not are currently excensus indicated aged 16 years was similar to Northern Irelated Office for Nation (1.7%). Source: North ONS 2015 Series According to continuous are currently excent and the series of the serie	examining me tes that less to and over) we the borough and estimated onal Statistics nern Ireland 2 xual Identity	ethodology han 1% of ere in a reg as a whole this figure s estimates	on ho the N istere . The betwe a sin	w to orthe ed sa Cont een 0 nilar i	measur ern Irela me-sex inuous .9% and range fo	e this. nd add civil p House d 1.9% or the l	Howalt popartne ehold in 20 Juited	ever, the 201 pulation (thos rship. This Survey in 015-2016. The Kingdom	1 se
Women generally	were male (49 Council (48%)	%) and this v	vas similar							1
			ľ	∕lales		Fem	nales		All	
	Northern Irela		887,323	(49%	92	3,540 (5	51%)		1,810,863 (100%)	
	Ards and N Borough Cou Source: Age S Review of stat	incil Structure, Ce		NISF	<u> </u>	0,752 (5	, ,		672(100%)	
Disability	The 2011 Cen Down area ha which has last Northern Irela Disability Liv	sus (NISRA) d a health iss ed or expecte nd figure of 2	showed th sue or disab ed to last a 1%.)	at 20° oility (% of inclu	the pop ding the	ulatior se rel	n in Ai ated t	rds and North o old age)	1

Ţ	Π	2015 (Dipobility	2015 (Multiple	
	<u> </u>	2015 (Disability	2015 (Multiple	
	<u> </u>	Living	Disability Benefits)	
	<u> </u>	Allowance	*MDB is	
	(Recipients)	aggregated data	
	<u> </u>	1	from Attendance	
	<u> </u>	l l	Allowance,	1
	<u> </u>	1	Disability Living	
	(l	Allowance,	1
	<u> </u>	1	Incapacity Benefit	
	,	1	and Severe	
	,	1	Disablement	
	<u> </u>	1	Allowance data,	'
	,	1	and data for	'
	<u> </u>	1	Employment and	'
	1	ļ!	Support allowance	ן י
	Northern Ireland	209,280	251,490	<u> </u>
	Ards and North Down Borough			1
	Council	13,840	18,080	
	Ards Peninsula	2,920	n/a	
	Bangor Central	2,250	n/a	
	Bangor East and Donaghadee	1,740	n/a	_
	Bangor West	1,650	n/a	
	Comber	1,270	n/a	
	Holywood and Clandeboye	1,180	n/a	
	Newtownards	2,840	n/a	
	Northern Ireland Census 2011 Long-	term health proble	m or disability by long	<u>j-</u>
	term problem or disability			
Dependents	Summary:			
	In considering this dimension the follo			
	responsibility for the care of a child, of			
	older person. According to the Contin			
	Ards and North Down claimed to have	e a dependent (Th	is did not differentiate	e on
	the type of dependent).	م ۸ منا ماماد حاد عند ۸ منا	Le see al Marada Davina la	l _
	According to the 2011 Census, 7,474			
	dependent child under the age of 4 (7	This might give an	indication on the num	ibers
	who would have buggies or prams).			
	Sources: Continuous Household Sur	rvey		

	rovided what are the needs, experiences and priorities for each							
of the following categories,	of the following categories, in relation to this policy/decision?							
Religious Belief	All Councils are required to provide a refuse collection service							
Political Opinion	under Waste and Contaminated Land (NI) Order 1997. This							
Racial Group	service is to all residents and over a number of years a range							
Age	of service improvements have been implemented to							
Marital Status	encourage and increase household recycling for the reasons							
Sexual orientation	identified above including cost reduction of waste disposal at							

Men & Women generally	landfill and the sustainability benefits of recycling.
Disability	This has enabled a reduction in household waste for landfill.
Dependents	This trial of collecting grey bin household waste for houses every 4 weeks instead of 2 weeks will identify houses where the residents include children and adults requiring nappy waste disposal, and houses where residents have a medical or health condition that requires a two weekly service to continue and this will be maintained. This is an integral part of the trial planning and any other needs identified will be addressed as learning, should the trial be successful and be rolled out further in the Borough.

Does this Policy require an Equality Impact Assessment?

1.	What is the likely impact on equality of opportunity for each of the Section 75 categories?					
		Detail of Impact	Level of Impact			
			Minor/Major/None			
	Religious Belief	All households currently receive a	None			
	Political Opinion	household refuse collection				
	Racial Group	service. For some there are				
	Age	specific needs that are met.				
	Marital Status	These are often due to age,				
	Sexual orientation	disability or dependents within a				
	Men & Women generally	house. These premises will				
	Disability	continue to receive the existing				
	Dependents	level of service and if any issues				
		are identified for these				
		dimension's they will be				
		addressed to ensure the required				
		level of household refuse				
		collection service continues.				

2.	Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for people within the Section 75 equality categories?				
		If "Yes", provide details			
	Religious Belief	No as this is a trial and all concerns will be addressed.			
	Political Opinion	All known issues have been considered and mitigation			
	Racial Group	introduced as part of the planning process. Where			
	Age	households have a particular need that is addressed, this			
	Marital Status	will not be changed during the trial nor if the service is maintained long term.			
	Sexual orientation				
	Men & Women generally	Any individual or household that has been identified as			
	Disability	having a disability and requiring information in an alternative format will receive specific information in			
	Dependents				
	•	advance should this trial affect their household			
		collections.			

3.	To what extent is the Policy likely to impact on Good Relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?						
	Details of Impact Level of Impact						
	Minor/Major/None						
	Religious Belief This service will be maintained and changed based on						
	Political Opinion	the current routes and will in no way impact on any of					
	Racial Group	these dimensions.					

4.	Are there opportunities to better promote Good Relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?				
		If "Yes" provide details	If "No" provide details		
	Religious Belief	No, as the refuse collection service is delivered equitably			
	Political Opinion	across the Borough based on the address of the property as it is a statutory function and not on any of these			
	Racial Group				
		criteria.			

Additional Considerations

Multiple Identity Considerations	Details of Impact or potential impact (Positive/Negative)
Are there any potential impacts of the policy decision on people with multiple identities? (e.g. disabled minority ethnic persons). Where appropriate provide details of data on the impact of the policy on people with multiple identities. Specify relevant Section 75 categories concerned.	All households have a range of multiple identities and the household refuse collection service meets these needs including ensuring those with disabilities receive information in a format that is suitable, in a timely manner whether due to a disability, English not being their first language or because they are used to a certain level of service and may not use social media to learn of the changes and access the necessary information.

Disability Discrimination Order (NI) 2006

Does this proposed policy provide an opportunity to:	Yes / No	Explain your reasoning:
 better promote positive attitudes towards disabled people increase participation by disabled people in public life 	Yes	The needs of those with a disability have been considered throughout the planning process including how to get the information in a suitable format, how to inform them of the changes and dates of the trial period and to assist in understanding the new routine which for some with dementia or autism may not be comfortable with the change.

Monitoring Arrangements

Section 75 places a requirement on the Council to have equality monitoring arrangements in place to assess the impact of policies and services, help identify barriers to fair participation and to better promote equality of opportunity.

Outline what data you could collect in the future to monitor the impact of this policy / decision on equality, good relations and disability duties relations and disability duties

Preparations to inform all households. Preparation and delivery of informing all those who require additional information or may not access social media.

Comments and complaints in relation to the trial period. Section 75 data that is relevant to all enquiries, comments and complaints.

I can confirm that the proposed policy / decision has been screened for: -

Х	Equality of opportunity and good relations
X	Disabilities duties

On the basis of the answers to the screening questions, I recommend that this policy / decision is: -

Х	Screened Out – No EQIA necessary (no impacts)
	Screened Out – Mitigating Actions (minor impacts)
	Screened In – Necessary to conduct a full EQIA
	Please detail actions to be taken:

Screening assessment completed by: -

Name: Shirley Poxon

Title: Compliance Officer (Equality and Safeguarding)

Date: 26 November 2020

Signature:

Director/Head of Service decision approved by:

Name: David Lindsay

Title: Director of Environment Date: 26 November 2020

Signature:

If an Equality Impact Assessment is required

Priority Rating for	Priori	Priority Criterion		
Timetabling an Equality Impact Assessment. (1-3)	Effect relation	t on equality of opportun	ity and good	
	Socia	l need		
	Effect	t on people's daily lives		
	Relev	ance to a public authori	ty's functions	
Is this Policy Affected by Tir established by other relevan Authorities?				
Monitoring Recommendatio	n			
Approval and Authorisation	Pos	sition/Job Title:	Date:	
Screened by:	(Ec	mpliance Officer juality and eguarding)	26 November 2020	
Approved by:	Dire	ector of Environment	26 November 2020	